

Polytechnic Journal

Polytechnic Journal

Volume 12 | Issue 1

Article 1

12-1-2019

Iterative Kernel Technique to Solve System Fredholm Integral Equation First Kind for Degenerate Kernel

Talhat I. Hassan

Department of Information and Communication Technology, Erbil Technology College, Erbil Polytechnics University, talhat.hassan@epu.edu.iq

Follow this and additional works at: https://polytechnic-journal.epu.edu.iq/home

Part of the Life Sciences Commons

How to Cite This Article

Hassan, Talhat I. (2019) "Iterative Kernel Technique to Solve System Fredholm Integral Equation First Kind for Degenerate Kernel," *Polytechnic Journal*: Vol. 12: Iss. 1, Article 1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.25156/ptj.v12n1y2022.pp1-7

This Research Article is brought to you for free and open access by Polytechnic Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Polytechnic Journal by an authorized editor of Polytechnic Journal. For more information, please contact karwan.qadir@epu.edu.iq.

Iterative Kernel Technique to Solve System Fredholm Integral Equation First Kind for Degenerate Kernel

Abstract

Many problems associated with the engineering technology field can be transformed into Fredholm integral equations of the first kind to achieve problem-solving strategies. In this paper, the iterative kernel technique was reformulated to treat the numerical solution for the system of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind for the degenerate kernel. Three new theorems have been proposed and proved. This technique was programmed via Matlab and achieved a good result

Keywords

Iterative kernel technique, the system of integral equations, degenerate kernel, Fredholm

RESEAR CH AR TICLE



Iterative Kernel Technique to Solve System Fredholm Integral Equation First Kind for Degenerate Kernel

Talaat Ismael Hassan¹

¹ Department of Information and Communication Technology, Erbil Technology College, Erbil Polytechnics University, Erbil, Kurdistan Region, Iraq

*Corresponding author: Talaat I. Hassan, Department of Information and Communication Technology, Erbil Polytechnics University, Erbil, Kurdistan Region, Irao. E-mail

talhat.hassan@epu.edu.iq

Received: 19 September 2021 Accepted: 1 February 2022 Published: 11 August 2022

DOI 10.25156/ptj.v12n1y2022.pp1-7

INTRODUCTION

Integral equations are useful for representing a variety of scientific phenomena. In the field of applied sciences various phenomena transformed to problems in an integral equation, many integral equations issues were built from numerous examples in various areas of applied sciences such as mathematical physics, engineering, and chemistry [Burova, I. G. and Ryabov, V. M. 2020, Wazwaz M. A. 2011]. Recently, several scientists reformulated various techniques for solving many types of problems of integral equations, several papers have been published with scientific phenomena and paved away to develop several high-accuracy numerical techniques [Hassan, T. I. Sulaiman N. A. and Saleh S. 2016, Jose M. G. and Miguel A. H. 2021, Edalatpanah, S. A. and Abdulmaleki, E. 2014, Long G. and Nelakanti G. 2007, Talaat, I. H. 2019].

The system of Fredholm integral equation appears from various phenomena in mathematics, electromagnetic waves,

ABSTR AC T

Many problems associated with the engineering technology field can be transformed into Fredholm integral equations of the first kind to achieve problem-solving strategies. In this paper, the iterative kernel technique was reformulated to treat the numerical solution for the system of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind for the degenerate kernel. Three new theorems have been proposed and proved. This technique was programmed via Matlab and achieved a good result

Keywords: Iterative kernel technique, the system of integral equations, degenerate kernel, and Fredholm

and communication problems. This system is constructed especially from a system of boundary value problem [Ibrahim, H. Attah, F. and Gyegwe, T. 2016, Maxime, B. A. 1971].

On the other hand, this system was an ill post problem so that it cannot be solved essay for all types of kernels, for this cause choosing degenerate kernel type for solving it as a special case. In general, various techniques with sufficient accuracy and efficiency have been utilized before by many researchers to solve problems in integral equations, iterative Scheme is one of these techniques [Abdou M. A. 2002, Hassan T. I. 2019, Jose M. G. and Miguel A. H. 2021, Sahu, P. K. and Saha Ray, S. 2014, Wazwaz 2011].

The primary purpose of this paper is to reformate and apply the iterative kernel technique for solving the system of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind with degenerate kernel type

2- DEFINITIONS

Introducing some definition.

Definition 1: The system of Fredholm integral equations of the first kind is defined as follows

$$h_i(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{ij} \int_a^b Q_{ij}(v, z) p_j(z) dz \qquad (1)$$

where $m \in N$, $h_i(v)$ and $Q_{ij}(v, z)$ for i =

1,2, ..., *m* continuous known functions on

[*a*, *b*] respectively, $G = \{(v, z): a \le v < z \le b\}$ such that the functions, $p_j(z)$ is unknown [Wazwaz, A.M. 2011].

Definition 2:

if
$$Q(v, z) = \sum_{y=1}^{n} d_y(v) r_y(z), \ \forall (v, z) \in G$$

then Q(v, z) is known as degenerate kernel [Maxime, B. A. 1971, Wazwaz, A.M. 2011].

3- USING ITERATIVE KERNEL TECHNIQUE TO SOLVE EQUATION ONE.

Recently many researchers applied this technique because it has a significant role in solving various problems. Also, different problems in various areas of applied mathematics were solved by this technique. Here driving this technique. For solving this problem. Assume equation (1) has numerical solution as,

$$p_i(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{ij} p_i^j(v) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., m \quad (2)$$

The $p_i'(v)$ defined in [Sulaiman, N. A. and Hassan, T. I. 2008] as,

$$p_{i}^{j}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{j-1}(z) dz$$
(3)

Then by using equation (3) $p_i^1(v)$ can find by

$$p_{i}^{1}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{0}(z) dz$$
(4)
Let $p_{i}^{0}(v) = h_{i}(v)$
 $p_{i}^{1}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) h_{i}(z) dz$

then $p_i^2(v)$ is calculated as follows

$$p_{i}^{2}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v, z) p_{i}^{1}(z) dz$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) [\sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ir}(v,k) h_{r}(k) dk] dz$$

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{q}\int_{a}^{b}\left[\sum_{r=1}^{q}\int_{a}^{b}Q_{ij}(v,z)Q_{ir}^{1}(z,k)dz\right]h_{r}(k)dk$$

Let $Q_{ir}^1(z,k) = Q_{ir}(z,k)$ then

$$p_i^2(v) = \sum_{j=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}^2(v,k)h_j(k)dk \qquad (5)$$

Such that $Q^2(v,k)$

Such that $Q_{ij}^2(v, k)$

$$Q_{ij}^{2}(v,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ir}^{1}(z,k) dz \quad (6)$$

Calculating $p_i^3(v)$ by

$$p_{i}^{3}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v, z) p_{i}^{2}(z) dz, \qquad (7)$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., m substitute equation (5) into equation (7) then

$$p_i^3(v) = \sum_{j=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}(v,z) \left[\sum_{r=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ir}^2(v,k)h_r(k)dk\right]dz$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{r=0}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ir}^{2}(z,k) dz \right] h_{r}(k) dk$$

Such that $Q_{ij}^{3}(v,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ir}^{2}(z,k) dz$ Hence

$$p_i^3(v) = \sum_{j=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}^3(v,k) h_j(k) dk$$
(8)

Therefore, the numerical solution given by,

$$p_{i}^{n}(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}^{n}(v,k)h_{j}(k)dk$$
(9)

for i = 1, 2, ..., m and the n^{th} iterative kernels is $Q_{ij}^n(v,k) = \sum_{r=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ir}^{n-1}(z,k) dz \quad (10)$ The sum of $p_i^0(v), p_i^1(v), p_i^2(v), ...$, where i =

1,2,..., *m* is converge to the numerical solution for the main problem.

4- THEOREMS

Proving several theorems, which relate to the main problem.

Theorem 4.1

Assume that Q(v, z) and P(v, z) be degenerate kernel then Q(v, z)P(v, z) is also degenerate kernel $\forall, (v, z) \in G$. Proof: Since Q(v, z) and P(v, z) are degenerate kernel then we have

 $Q(v,z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(v) r_i(z)$ and $P(v,z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i^*(v) r_i^*(z)$ then

$$Q(v,z)P(v,z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i(v)r_i(z) \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_j^*(v)r_j^*(z)$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_i(v)r_i(z)d_j^*(v)r_j^*(z)$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_i(v)d_j^*(v)r_i(z)r_j^*(z)$
= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} D_{ij}(v)R_{ij}(z)$

Hence it's the degenerate kernel.

Theorem 4.2

Let Q(v, z) and P(v, z) be two non-identically zeros and continuous functions

 \forall , $(v, z) \in G$ then Q(v, z)P(v, z) non-identical zero function [Maxime, B. A. 1971].

Theorem 4.3

Let $Q_{ij}(v, k)$ be non-identically zeros and continuous functions \forall , $(v, z) \in G$ then $Q_{ij}^1(v, k), Q_{ij}^2(v, k), Q_{ij}^3(v, k), \dots, Q_{ij}^n(v, k)$ degenerate kernel and non-identically zeros.

Proof: 1) To show that

 $Q_{ij}^1(v,k), Q_{ij}^2(v,k), Q_{ij}^3(v,k), \dots, Q_{ij}^n(v,k)$ are the degenerate kernel, by mathematical induction, for n = 1 we have

 $Q_{ij}^{1}(v,k) = Q_{ij}(v,k) = \sum_{y=1}^{n} d_y(v) r_y(k), \ \forall (v,k) \in G$ then it is holds.

Let $Q_{ij}^n(v, k)$ is degenerate kernel for n = u then we have

$$Q_{ij}^{u}(v,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ir}^{u-1}(z,k) dz = \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{is}(v) r_{js}(z) \sum_{t=1}^{m} d_{it}^{u-1}(z) r_{t}^{u-1}(k) \right] dz$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{q} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m} d_{is} (v) \left[\int_{a}^{b} d_{it}^{u-1}(z) r_{js}(z) dz \right] r_{rt}^{u-1}(k) \right]$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} [d_{it}^{u-1}(z)r_{js}(z)] dz = c$, *c* is constant. Then $Q_{ij}^{u}(v,k) = c \sum_{r=1}^{q} [\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m} [d_{is}(v)r_{rt}^{u-1}(k)]]$ (11) $Q_{ij}^{u}(v,k)$ is degenerate kernel.

we must prove that $Q_{ij}^n(v,k)$ is the degenerate kernel for n = u + 1.

$$Q_{ij}^{u+1}(v,k) = \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ir}^{u}(z,k) dz$$
$$= \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{is}(v) r_{js}(z) \sum_{t=1}^{m} d_{it}^{u}(z) r_{rt}^{u}(k) \right] dz$$

$$= \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{is}(v) r_{js}(z) d_{it}^{1}(z) r_{rt}^{1}(k) \sum_{t=1}^{m} d_{it}^{u-1}(z) r_{rt}^{u-1}(k) \right] dz$$

 $= \sum_{r=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m} d_{is}(v) d_{it}^{1}(z) d_{it}^{u-1}(z) r_{js}(z) r_{rt}^{1}(k) r_{rt}^{u-1}(k) \right] dz$ Since these terms $r_{rt}^{1}(k) r_{rt}^{u-1}(k)$ and $d_{is}(v)$ are constants for the integral with respect to variable *z*, then we get $Q_{ij}^{u+1}(v,k) =$ $\sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left[d_{is}(v) r_{rt}^{1}(k) r_{rt}^{u-1}(k) \int_{a}^{b} \left[d_{it}^{1}(z) d_{it}^{u-1}(z) r_{is}(z) dz \right] \right]$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} [d_{it}^{1}(z)d_{it}^{u-1}(z)r_{js}(z)dz] = w$, *w* is constant $Q(v,k) = w \sum_{r=1}^{q} \left[\sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{m} d_{is}(v)r_{rt}^{1}(k)r_{rt}^{u-1}(k) \right]$ By using equation (11) and definition of the degenerate kernel then we obtain $Q_{ij}^{u+1}(v,k)$ is the degenerate kernel. Therefore, the relationship holds $\forall, n \in \mathbb{N}$. such that N is the set of natural number.

2) Since $Q_{ij}(v,k) = \sum_{y=1}^{n} d_y(v) r_y(k)$ non-identically zeros for some subscript value of y. Then at least one term of $d_y(k)r_y(k)$ is nonequal to zero.

By mathematical induction we must show that $Q_{ij}^2(v,k), Q_{ij}^3(v,k), \dots, Q_{ij}^n(v,k)$ are non-identically zeros.

 $Q_{ij}^1(v,k) = Q_{ij}(v,k)$, then $Q_{ij}^1(v,k)$ is non-identically zero.

Assume that $Q_{ij}^n(v, k)$ non-identically zeros for n = u.

we must prove that $Q_{ij}^n(v, z)$ is non-identically zeros for n = u + 1

then
$$Q_{ij}^{u+1}(v,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) Q_{ij}^{u}(z,k) dz$$

since by supposing $Q_{ij}^u(v,k)$ and $Q_{ij}^1(v,k)$ are nonidentically zeros \forall , $(v,k) \in G$.

Hence by theorem (4.2) the multiplication of them is nonidentically zero.

Therefore, the relationship holds \forall , $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.4

Assume the linear operator $W: C[a, b] \rightarrow C[a, b]$ is continuous on Banach space Y(X)

The function $h_i(v)$ is analytic over [a, b] and $Q_{ij}(v, z)$ is continuous on

$$G = \{(v, z): a \le v < z \le b\} \text{ such that,} \\ \|Q_{ij}(v, z)p_i^m(z) - Q_{ij}(v, z)p_i^{m-1}(z)\| \\ \le L_i \|p_i^m - p_i^{m-1}\| \le L \|p_i^m - p_i^{m-1}\|$$

Such that $0 < L_i < 1$, and $L = \max\{L_i\}_{i=1}^m$ then *W* is contractive mapping.

Proof: From equation (9) we have

Hassan

 $p_i^m(v) = \sum_{j=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}^m(v,k)h_j(k)dk, v \in [0,k_i], k_i < 1 \text{ for any } n = m \text{, to show that } W \text{ is contractive mapping.}$ Let $p_i^m(v) \text{ and } p_i^{m-1}(v) \in C[a,b]$ by applying mathematical induction and the Euclidean norm $\|.\|$,

$$\begin{split} \left\| W\left(p_{i}^{2}(v)\right) - W\left(p_{i}^{1}(v)\right) \right\| &= \\ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{1}(z) dz - \\ \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{0}(z) dz \right\| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left\| Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{1}(z) - Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{0}(z) \right\| dz \end{split}$$

j=1

By using the Lipchitz condition $||Q_{ij}(v,z)p_i^1(z) - Q_{ij}(v,z)p_i^0(z)|| \le L_i ||p_i^1 - p_i^0||,$ and $\sum_{j=1}^q f = qf$, we get $||W(p_i^2(v)) - W(p_i^1(v))|| \le \sum_{j=1}^q L_i ||p_i^1 - p_i^0|| \le L_i ||p_i^1 - p_i^0||$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| W\left(p_i^3(v)\right) - W\left(p_i^2(v)\right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}(v,z) p_i^2(z) dz \right\| \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^q \int_a^b Q_{ij}(v,z) p_i^1(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} \int_{a}^{b} \left\| Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{2}(z) - Q_{ij}(v,z) p_{i}^{1}(z) \right\| dz$$

since $||Q_{ij}(v,z)p_i^2(z) - Q_{ij}(v,z)p_i^1(z)|| \le L_i ||p_i^2 - p_i^1||$, then

$$\left\| W\left(p_{i}^{3}(v)\right) - W\left(p_{i}^{2}(v)\right) \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{q} L_{i} | p_{i}^{2} - p_{i}^{1} | \int_{a}^{b} dz = q(b-a)L_{i} | p_{i}^{2} - p_{i}^{1} | \right\|$$

$$= q^2 (b-a)^2 L_i^2 \left\| p_i^1 - p_i^0 \right\|$$

Therefore, in general $\|W(p_i^{n+1}(v)) - W(p_i^n(v))\| \le q^n(b-a)^n L_i^n \|p_i^1 - p_i^0\|$ since $0 < L_i < 1$, then $0 < L_i^n < 1$ therefore $0 < q^n(b-a)^n L_i^n < 1$ Then W is contractive mapping.

ALGORITHM OF TECHNIQUE

Input: *a*, *b*, *m*, *f*, *E* Step 1: Consider $p_i(v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} p_i^j(v)$ be numerical solution of equation (1). Step 2: Put $p_i^0(v) = h_i(v)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., m. For n = 1: *f* Step 3: Compute iterative kernel from equation (10). Step 4: Find $\{p_i^1(v), p_i^2(v), ..., p_i^n(v)\}$ in equation (9). Step 5: Calculate $E_i^n = \left|\sum_{j=0}^n p_i^j(v) - p(v)\right|$. if $E_i^n < E$ then go to output. Step 6: Containing in this process to get the numerical solution. End

Output: the results approximate results and E_i^n .

Note: E = error value.

5- EXAMPLES

Discussing the iterative kernel technique for solving the problem through numerical examples.

Example 1: Consider the system of integral equation

$$\frac{1}{2}v = \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1}v \ p_{1}(z)dz + \int_{0}^{1}2v^{2} \ p_{2}(z)dz$$

$$\frac{1}{4}v = \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1}vz^{2} \ p_{1}(z)dz + \frac{3}{4}\int_{0}^{1}vz \ p_{2}(z)dz$$
With exact solutions $p_{1}(v) = v$ and $p_{2}(v) = \frac{1}{2}v$.
Solution: By applying iterative kernel technique, obtains

$$p_1^0(v) = h_1(v) = \frac{1}{2}v, \ p_1^1(v) = \frac{1}{4}v, \ p_1^2(v)$$
$$= \frac{1}{8}v \ and \ p_1^3(v) = \frac{1}{16}v$$
$$p_2^0(v) = h_2(v) = \frac{1}{4}v, \ p_2^1(v) = \frac{1}{8}v, \ p_2^2(v) =$$
$$\frac{1}{16}v \ and \ p_2^3(v) = \frac{1}{32}v$$

v	n	Exact	Numerical	Absolute error	Exact	Numerical	Absolute error
		solution of	solution		solution of	solution	
		$p_1(v)$	by technique		$p_2(v)$	by technique	
0.6	2	0.60000000	0.45000000	1.5000×10^{-1}	0.30000000	0.22500000	7.5000×10^{-2}
	4		0.56250000	3.7500×10^{-2}		0.28125000	2.8125×10^{-2}
	6		0.59531250	4.6875×10^{-3}		0.29765650	2.3437×10^{-3}
	8		0.59941406	5.8500×10^{-4}		0.29970703	2.9297×10^{-4}
	10		0.59992675	7.3750×10^{-5}		0.29996337	3.6621×10^{-5}
	12		0.59999084	9.1344×10^{-6}		0.29999542	4.5776×10^{-6}
	14		0.59999988	1.1444×10^{-7}		0.29999942	5.7220×10^{-7}
	16		0.59999995	5.9300×10^{-8}		0.29999997	7.1500×10^{-8}
	18		0.60000000	5.3450×10^{-9}		0.30000000	8.9000×10^{-9}
	20		0.60000000	2.6070×10^{-11}		0.30000000	1.1000×10^{-10}

Table 1: Comparison among numerical and exact results.

Table 2: Comparison of least square error and running time.

		Computes for p	$v_1(v) = v$	Computes for $p_2(v) = \frac{1}{2}v$		
v	n	Least square error	Running time	least square error	Running time	
0.6	2	643×10^{-3}	0:0:0.9632	2.5576×10^{-3}	0:0:0.9632	
	4	223×10^{-7}	0:0:1.7864	4.3245×10^{-7}	0:0:1.7864	
	6	287×10^{-8}	0:0:2.2432	6.7783×10 ⁻⁹	0:0:2.2432	
	8	672×10^{-12}	0:0:3.1168	7.9006×10 ⁻¹³	0:0:3.1168	





Figure 1: Describe numerical graphs and $p_1(v) = \mathcal{V}$





Example 2: Consider the system of integral equation

$$\frac{1}{8}e^{v} = 3\int_{0}^{1} z^{3} e^{(v-z)} p_{1}(z)dz + \int_{0}^{1} v e^{(v-z)} p_{2}(z)dz \frac{1}{16}e^{v} = \frac{1}{4}\int_{0}^{13} 3v^{5} e^{(v-z)} p_{1}(z)dz + \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{1} v e^{(v-z)} p_{2}(z)dz$$

Hassan

With exact solutions
$$p_1(z) = \frac{1}{4}e^{\nu}$$
 and $p_2(z) = \frac{1}{8}e^{\nu}$.

Solution: By applying iterative kernel technique, obtains

$$p_1^0(v) = h_1(v) = \frac{1}{8}e^v, \ p_1^1(v) = \frac{1}{16}e^v, \ p_1^2(v)$$
$$= \frac{1}{32}e^v \ and \ p_1^3(v) = \frac{1}{64}e^v$$


Table 3: comparison of numerical and exact results.

$$p_2^0(v) = h_2(v) = \frac{1}{16}e^{v}, \ p_2^1(v) = \frac{1}{32}e^{v}, \ p_2^2(v)$$
$$= \frac{1}{64}e^{v} \ and \ p_2^3(v) = \frac{1}{128}e^{v}$$

v	n	Exact solution of $p_1(v)$	Numerical solution by technique	Absolute error	Exact solution of $p_2(v)$	Numerical solution by technique	Absolute error
0.6	2	0.45552969	0.22776485	2.27764×10^{-1}	0.22776484	0.11388242	1.1388×10^{-1}
	4		0.42705909	2.8470×10^{-2}		0.21352954	1.4235×10^{-2}
	6		0.41197087	3.5588×10^{-3}		0.22598543	1.7794×10^{-3}
	8		0.45508484	4.4485×10^{-4}		0.22754242	2.2242×10^{-4}
	10		0.45547407	4.1194×10^{-5}		0.22773704	2.7803×10^{-5}
	12		0.45552274	6.9508×10^{-6}		0.22776137	3.4754×10^{-6}
	14		0.45552883	8.6880×10^{-7}		0.22776441	4.3440×10^{-7}
	16		0.45552959	1.8060×10^{-8}		0.22776479	5.4300×10^{-8}
	18		0.45552964	1.3500×10^{-9}		0.22776443	6.7800×10^{-9}
	20		0.45552969	1.600×10^{-10}		0.22776484	1.1042×10^{-9}

Table 4: Comparison of least square error and running time.

		Computes for $p_1(z) = \frac{1}{4}e^{\nu}$		Computes for $p_2(z) = \frac{1}{8}e^{v}$	
v	n	Least square error	Running time	Least square error	Running time
0.6	2	679×10^{-2}	:0.9504	543×10^{-2}	:0.9504
	4	762×10^{-5}	:1.6788	985×10 ⁻⁵	:1.6788
	6	520×10^{-8}	:2.7740	452×10^{-7}	:2.7740
	8	651×10 ⁻¹¹	:3.0032	310×10 ⁻¹⁰	:3.0032



3- The ite

2- Proved new theorems and formulas.

Finally, concluding the following points:

1- Stated the new results.

3- The iterative technique showed its applicability and effectiveness.

Figure 3: Describe numerical graphs and $p_1(z) = \frac{1}{4}e^v$.




Figure 4: Describe numerical graphs and $p_2(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha}e^{\nu}$.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, reformulating iterative kernel technique for finding an approximate solution for system Fredholm integral equation of the first kind. Examples were solved and good results are achieved by applying this technique. The algorithm in this study is efficient for solving this problem. Also, for the criterion of discussion, we computed the least square error and running time of the program, for supporting the efficacy of the technique applied on several examples and getting an approximate solution for the problems. Comparing the approximate

REFERENCES

- Abdou M. A. 2002. Fredholm -Volterra integral equation of first kind and contact problem. J. applied mathematics and computation. 125(8): 177-193
- Burova, I. G. and Ryabov, V. M. 2020. On the Solution of Fredholm Integral Equations of the First Kind, J. Wseas transactions on mathematics, (19): 699-708.
- Edalatpanah, S. A. and Abdulmaleki, E. 2014. Chebyshev Semi-Iterative Method to Solve Fully Fuzzy linear Systems, J. Information and Computing Science. 9(1): 67-74.
- Hasan, T. I. Sulaiman N. A. and Salleh S. 2016. Aitken method on iterative kernel method for solving system of Volterra-Fredholm integral equations of the second kind. J. Pure and Applied Sciences (ZJPAS), 28 (s6); s79-s88.
- Hassan, T.I. 2019. An Approximate Solutions of two Dimension Linear Mixed Volterra- Fredholm Integral Equation of the Second Kind via Iterative Kernel Method. J. of Riparian university, 6(2): 101 -110.
- Ibrahim, H. Attah, F. and Gyegwe, T. 2016. On the solution of Volterra-Fredholm and mixed Volterra-Fredholm integral equations using the new iterative method. J. applied Mathematics, 6(1): 1-5.
- Jose M. G. and Miguel A. H. 2021. A Picard-Type Iterative Scheme for Fredholm Integral Equations of the Second Kind. J.

Mathematics, 9(83): 1-15.

- Long G. and Nelakanti G. 2007. Iteration methods for Fredholm integral equations of the second kind. J. computers and Mathematics with applications, 53(6): 886-894
- Mahmud, M. H. 2008. Approximation methods for solving system of linear two dimensional Fredholm integral equations of a second kind. MSc thesis Salahaddin University.
- Maxime, B. A., An introduction to the study of integral equations ", Heffner publishing Com. New York 1971.
- Sahu, P. K. and Saha Ray, S. 2014. Numerical solutions for the system of Fredholm integral equations of the second kind by a new approach involving semi orthogonal B-spline wavelet collocation method, Science Direct. Applied mathematics and

Computation, 234(15):368-379.

- Sulaiman, N.A. and Hassan, T.I. 2008. Successive approximation method for solving integral equation of the first kind with symmetric kernel, J. of Education and sciences. 21(4):149-159.
- Talaat, I. H. 2019. Cooperating Newton's Method with Series Solution Method for System of Linear Mixed Volterra-Fredholm Integral Equation of the Second Kind. J. of Cihan University-Erbil (CUESJ), 3(1):27-33.
- Wazwaz, A.M., Linear and non-linear integral equations methods and applications, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011