

# **Polytechnic Journal**

**Polytechnic Journal** 

Volume 14 | Issue 1

Article 1

December 2023

# Effect of Different Levels of Postbiotic and Phytobiotic Combination as on Growth Performance, Economic Production, and Immune Response of Broiler Chickens

Jamil Mobarak Mahmoud Doski Salahaddin University – Erbil, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, Animal Resource Department

Karwan Yaseen Kareem Salahaddin University – Erbil, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, Animal Resource Department, karwan.kareem@su.edu.krd

Follow this and additional works at: https://polytechnic-journal.epu.edu.iq/home

# How to Cite This Article

Doski, Jamil Mobarak Mahmoud and Kareem, Karwan Yaseen (2023) "Effect of Different Levels of Postbiotic and Phytobiotic Combination as on Growth Performance, Economic Production, and Immune Response of Broiler Chickens," *Polytechnic Journal*: Vol. 14: Iss. 1, Article 1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.59341/2707-7799.1713

This Original Article is brought to you for free and open access by Polytechnic Journal. It has been accepted for inclusion in Polytechnic Journal by an authorized editor of Polytechnic Journal. For more information, please contact karwan.qadir@epu.edu.iq.

# Effect of Different Levels of Postbiotic and Phytobiotic Combination as on Growth Performance, Economic Production, and Immune Response of Broiler Chickens

# Abstract

A total of two hundred and eighty eight day old unsexed chicks from a Ross 308 cross were randomly assigned to eight treatment and four replicates and each replicator had twelve birds. The experimental groups includes, N-control= negative control (basal diet), P-control = basal diet + 0.01% Doxin200 (positive control) (w/w), 0.1% Pos+Phy = basal diet + 0.05% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.05% postbiotic, 0.2% Pos+Phy = basal diet + 0.1% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.1% postbiotic, 0.3% Pos+Phy = basal diet + basal diet + 0.15% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.15% postbiotic, and 0.4% Pos+Phy = basal diet + 0.2% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.2% postbiotic. The broiler European index was greatly improved, particularly at levels of 0.3% Pos+Phy and 0.4% Pos+Phy, which corresponded to (385.38) and (351.57), respectively. Growth performance ageneraly improved, significantly heigh of BWG and Low FCR showed in birds fed 0.3% and 0.4% Pos+Phy, and the BW of birds fed compaination significantly (p

# Keywords

Probiotics Byproduct; Thyme Oil; Combination of Pos+Phy; Broiler Performance; New Feed Additives

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Effect of Different Levels of Postbiotic and Phytobiotic Combination on Growth Performance, Economic Production, and Immune Response of Broiler Chickens

Jamil Mobarak Mahmoud Doski<sup>®</sup>, Karwan Yaseen Kareem<sup>\*</sup><sup>®</sup>

Salahaddin University - Erbil, College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, Animal Resource Department, Iraq

# Abstract

Postbiotics are byproducts originating from probiotic-driven fermentation in the intestines. As probiotics digest prebiotics, they produce postbiotics, which are essentially the remnants of probiotic function. Postbiotics, as non-living cells, enhance broiler performance by providing organic and fatty acids, minerals, and vitamins. Phytobiotic additives advance digestion, immunity, appetite, bactericidal and antiviral traits, and antioxidant activity. These benefits collectively enhance animal product quality and growth. The goal of the study is to determine the optimal level of postbiotic and phytobiotic combinations to use as antibiotic alternatives to improve the immune system and economic production in broiler chickens. A total of 288 one-day-old unsexed chicks from a Ross 308 cross were randomly assigned to six treatments and four replicates, and each replicator had twelve birds. The experimental groups include Ncontrol = negative control (basal diet), P-control = basal diet + 0.01% Doxin200 (positive control) (w/w), 0.1%Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.05% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.05% postbiotic, 0.2% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.1% thyme oil (v/ w) + 0.1% postbiotic, 0.3% Pos + Phy = basal diet + basal diet + 0.15% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.15% postbiotic, and 0.4% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.2% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.2% postbiotic. The broiler European index was greatly improved, particularly at levels of 0.3% Pos + Phy and 0.4% Pos + Phy, which corresponded to 385.38 and 351.57, respectively. Growth performance generally improved, with significant body weight gain and a low feed conversion ratio shown in birds fed 0.3% and 0.4% Pos + Phy, and the live body weight of birds fed combination was significantly (p < 0.05) higher compared to N-Control and p-Control. The titer of antibodies against Newcastle and infectious bursal disease was significantly increased in the bird's group given postbiotic and phytobiotics, especially at levels of 0.3 and 0.4% Pos + Phy in comparison to birds in the P-control and N-control. In summary, the ongoing incorporation of postbiotics and phytobiotics into broiler diets can lead to a synergistic effect, promoting enhanced growth, improved economic production, and bolstered immune responses in broiler chickens.

Keywords: Probiotics byproduct, Thyme oil, Combination of Pos + Phy, Broiler performance, New feed additives

# 1. Introduction

<sup>4</sup> Corresponding author.

**T** he widespread use of antibiotics in poultry for economic improvement led to the emergence of antibiotic-resistant pathogens, leading to numerous countries and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) banning their use [1]. Recently, specialists have discovered natural alternatives to antibiotics for safe, healthy, and disease-free poultry products [2–5]. Prebiotics, probiotics, symbiotics, and postbiotics are natural growth promoters and health enhancers [6]. Probiotics have positive effects, but many of them, particularly probiotic plasmids, possess antibioticresistance genes that may be passed from one organism to another [7]. Postbiotics, fermented

Received 5 August 2023; accepted 2 September 2023. Available online 13 December 2023

E-mail addresses: jamilmobarak@yahoo.com (J.M. Mahmoud Doski), karwan.kareem@su.edu.krd (K.Y. Kareem).

https://doi.org/10.59341/2707-7799.1713 2707-7799/© 2024, Erbil Polytechnic University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 Licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

products made from lactic acid bacteria, are currently being utilized more safely as feed additions as a possible antibiotic substitute for enhancing the gut flora and immune system of chickens [8]. Postbiotics enhance broiler performance by providing organic and fatty acids, minerals, and vitamins [9,10]. Thyme oil enhances chicken growth, health, and immune function by stimulating appetite, improving gut health, and promoting antioxidants [11]. Essential oils from plants like black cumin and thyme are safe for humans, livestock, and poultry [12]. According to earlier research, adding postbiotics and phytobiotics to the diet can help broiler chickens grow more quickly and have stronger immune systems. It's unknown how these additions will affect the diets of broiler chickens, though. The objective of the research is to determine the optimum degree of postbiotic and phytobiotic effects on the immune system and economic production in broiler chickens.

# 2. Methodology

## 2.1. Postbiotic and phytobiotic preparations

The primary cultivation of Lactobacillus plantarum was created at the Laboratory of Animal Resources in the College of Agricultural Engineering Science at Salahaddin University-Erbil, Iraq. In the first stage, Lactobacillus plantarum was isolated from a fermented dairy product and stored in de-Mann Rogosa Sharpe broth. Stock cultures were revived and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. A single colony was chosen and injected into 10 ml of MRS broth then (1% (v/v) of inoculum was inoculated into the media) for 24 h, categorizing it as ready for fermentation inoculum. The second stage is after sterilizing work tools with ethanol and distilled water. The cultures for growing lactic acid bacteria are prepared by adding 52 g of MRS broth to 1 L of distilled water in a sealed glass vial. The solution is sterilized at 121 °C for 15 min. After cooling, 2 ml of lactobacillus plantarum are cultivated per liter of MRS broth and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. The live bacteria are separated from the fermented materials by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm (rotation per minute) for 15 min. After being separated, the postbiotic was kept at 4 °C until use [8]. The thyme oil extract used in the phytobiotic was purchased from the Aram Factory in Duhok Government. The prescribed dosages of doxycycline and tylosin from the Dutch antibiotic manufacturer Interchemie Doxin-200 WS were utilized at a ratio of 1:1-2 as recommended.

# 2.2. Birds management and experimental design

The research was done at the Duhok government's directorate of agricultural research between November 1 and December 25, 2022. However, 288 one-day-old unsexed Ross308 broiler chicks were purchased from Evan poultry hatchery in Erbil by controlled-environment chick vehicles. The field houses were environmentally controlled, and the lighting and temperature program was according to Ross 308 Guide-Aviagen 2022. Birds were reared in a flooring system in wire pens  $110 \times 110 \times 60$  cm (length  $\times$  width  $\times$  height). Postbiotics, thyme oil, and black cumin oil were added to basal diets. Feed was sprayed in prescribed amounts, mixed, and packaged in polyethylene bags for each treatment group. The birds were divided into six treatments and four replicates, and each replicator had 12 birds. The treatment groups included: N.control = negative control (basal diet), P.control = positive control (basal diet + 0.01% (w/w) doxin 200), 0.1% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.05% thyme oil + 0.05%postbiotic, 0.2% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.1%thvme +0.1% postbiotic, 0.3% oil Pos + Phy = basal diet + basal diet + 0.15% thyme oil + 0.15% postbiotic, and 0.4% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.2% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.2% postbiotic. Birds were fed over age-stage periods of 1-10, 11-24, and 25-35 days, respectively, which were balanced and formulated according to NRC, 1994, and Aviagen, Ross 308, Nutrition Specifications, 2022 Table 1. At 7, 14, and 21 days, respectively, the birds received vaccinations against the infectious bronchitis virus, infectious bursal disease, and Newcastle disease.

# 2.3. Sampling and data collection

The chicks were monitored daily for their health and management status, and their weight and feed intake were recorded weekly. The Europe Broiler Index (EBI), also known as the production index, was developed to compare broiler economic production from various treatments. At the end of the experiment, eight birds from each treatment group (4 males and 4 females) were chosen near the mean body weight of the group to estimate growth performance and biochemistry (antibody titers against IBD and NDv). According to [34] The following formula was used to calculate growth performance:

1- Feed conversion ratio (g feed: g weight gain) = (the average amount of feed consumed (g) at a week)/(average weight gain (g) in a week)

| Table | 1.   | The  | experimental   | basal   | diet | for | age | stage, | Starter | (1 - 10) |
|-------|------|------|----------------|---------|------|-----|-----|--------|---------|----------|
| Growe | er ( | 11–2 | 4), and Finish | ier (25 | -35) | day | ıs. |        |         |          |

| Ingredient (%)                  | Starter | Grower | Finisher |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------|----------|
|                                 | diet    | diet   | diet     |
| Broilers concentrate $(5\%)^1$  | 5       | 5      | 3        |
| Corn                            | 45      | 50     | 49       |
| Soybean meal (48%) <sup>2</sup> | 31      | 27.4   | 23       |
| Wheat                           | 14.1    | 12     | 19.2     |
| Veget. oil                      | 2.3     | 3      | 3.2      |
| Limestone                       | 1.3     | 1.3    | 1.4      |
| Di-calcium phosphate            | 0.6     | 0.6    | 0.6      |
| Salt                            | 0.2     | 0.2    | 0.2      |
| DL-Methionine                   | 0.17    | 0.17   | 0.17     |
| L-Lysine                        | 0.13    | 0.13   | 0.13     |
| Threo.                          | 0.1     | 0.1    | 0.1      |
| Anti-toxine                     | 0.05    | 0.05   | 0.00     |
| Anti-coccidiostat               | 0.05    | 0.05   | 0.00     |
| NIR analyses of diets (%):      |         |        |          |
| M.E. kcal/kg)                   | 2922    | 2986   | 3050     |
| CP (%)                          | 22.33   | 21.44  | 20.14    |
| CF (%)                          | 3.3     | 4.36   | 5.17     |
| C. fiber (%)                    | 2.58    | 2.69   | 2.84     |
| Ash (%)                         | 8.63    | 7.15   | 5.66     |
| Ca (%)                          | 0.63    | 0.88   | 1.07     |
| P (%)                           | 0.58    | 0.6    | 0.6      |

5%, means the ratio mixing to feed,Cp:40%,CF:2.3%,CF:4.5% MLysine digestible:3.5, Methionine dig::3.4,Meth.+Cystine dig::4.1, Tryptophan dig.0.53, Vitamin and mineral mix supplied/ kg of diet, Vit A: 12000 IU, Vit D3: 2200 IU, Vit E: 10 mg, Vit K3: 2 mg.

2) 48% ratio of crude protein.

- 2- Mortality percentage = the number of dead birds/the total number of birds at the end of the week.
- 3- Live body weight (g/bird/group) = (birds LBW)/ (number of birds at the end of the week).
- 4- Weight gain (g/bird) = (the average live body weight at the end of the week (g)) (the average live body weight at the beginning of the week (g)).
- 5- Europe Broiler Index: {(Viability (100 Mortality %)) x {(TWG ÷ days)}/{(FCR (kg feed/kg gain) x 10} X 100, accurding to [13].

# 2.4. Test of antibody titer against virus

At 35 days old, eight broiler chickens in each treatment group had their serum blood samples taken up to the moment of slaughter. ELISA systems effectively quantify antibody levels for infectious bursal disease and Newcastle disease, enabling the monitoring of immune status in flocks and determining vaccination timing. An ELISA test (IBD ELISA CK 113, ND ELISA CK 116, BioChek Limited, United Kingdom) was used to test serum to measure the antibody titers of all bird groups in the 5th week according to the recommended technique by the producer [14].

#### 2.5. Statistical analysis

SAS 9.1 software was used to do statistical analysis utilizing a completely randomized design method (CRD) model [15]. Data obtained for growth performance and antibody titer were subjected to the generalized linear model of SAS. The significant differences between the treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test at the probability level (p < 0.05).

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Productive performance

The chick's live body weight (LBW) fed at the 0.4% pos + phy level for the age stages (1–10) (11-24) and (25-35) days was significantly (P < 0.05) higher compared to the group fed at the levels 0.1, 0.2, or 0.3% pos + phy levels as well as compared to the birds fed on antibiotic Table 2. No significant (P > 0.05) variations were seen between birds fed 0.3% pos + phy and birds in positive control at the mentioned periods. The results also indicate that there was a significant increase (P < 0.05) in the average weight gain (WG) for the periods 1-10 and 11-25 days of age for the two groups of birds fed with the container diets of 0.3 and 0.4% Pos + Phy. No significant (P > 0.05) differences were observed in the average WG for the period of 24-35 days between all treatments. There are no significant differences in the WG of the birds fed 0.2, 0.3% Pos + Phy, and 0.4% Pos + Phy compared to the average WG of birds receiving antibiotics. But throughout the duration of the whole 1-35-day period, the treatment birds got at 0.4% Pos + Phy showed a substantial advantage in weight gain increase compared to all other experimental treatments. The study found a significant increase in daily feed intake (FI) for birds fed different levels of post-phy compared to the positive control group. No significant differences were observed in feed intake between birds in the 1-10 days. No significant differences were observed in FI between groups receiving different levels of postbiotics and phytobiotics, and the negative control was compared to the P. control. However, there was a significant improvement in feed conversion ratio (FCR) in all age period groups fed different levels of Pos + Phy and P.control compared to the N-control (P < 0.05). In the whole stage age period (1-35)days, a significant reduction in bird FCR was shown in two treatments that fed 0.3 and 0.4% Pos + Phy compared to 0.1 and 0.2% Pos + Phy and the N-

Treatments<sup>1</sup> SEM<sup>2</sup> Age stages/day N-control P-control 0.1% 0.2% 0.3% 0.4% p-value Pos + PhyPos + PhyPos + PhyPos + PhyLive body weight (LBW)-(g/bird) 39.38 39.05 38.93 39.08 38.88 39.10 0.767 0.1 Initial weight 681.3<sup>ab</sup> 646.9<sup>b</sup> 1 - 10594.5<sup>c</sup> 688.8<sup>a</sup> 601.7<sup>c</sup> 686.6<sup>a</sup> 0.0001 9.2 11 - 241147.3<sup>d</sup> 1274.4<sup>b</sup> 1153.7<sup>d</sup> 1268.9<sup>b</sup> 1314.8<sup>a</sup> 1203.1<sup>c</sup> 0.0001 137 1982.5<sup>d</sup> 2163.5<sup>b</sup> 2047.8<sup>cd</sup> 2172.7<sup>b</sup> 25 - 352083.7<sup>c</sup> 2248.3<sup>a</sup> 0.0001 20.3 Weight gain (WG)- (g/bird) 552.9<sup>b</sup> 585.5<sup>b</sup> 551.9<sup>b</sup> 556.2<sup>b</sup> 587.7<sup>b</sup>

771.2<sup>ab</sup>

2044.6<sup>c</sup>

717.3

499.9

1301.8<sup>a</sup>

1566.5<sup>ab</sup>

3368.2<sup>a</sup>

0.899<sup>ab</sup>

1.694<sup>abc</sup>

2.187<sup>ab</sup>

1.648<sup>bc</sup>

813.2<sup>a</sup>

733.1

515.6

1286.65<sup>ab</sup>

1565.4<sup>ab</sup>

3367.6<sup>a</sup>

0.879<sup>ab</sup>

1.588<sup>bc</sup>

2.138<sup>ab</sup>

 $1.578^{cd}$ 

2133.8<sup>b</sup>

Table 2. Effects of different levels of postbiotics and phytobiotics combination on broiler chicken's growth performance (at 35th day).

712.9<sup>bc</sup>

2008.9<sup>cd</sup>

1268.6<sup>ab</sup>

1616.4<sup>a</sup>

3418.6<sup>a</sup>

 $0.969^{a}$ 

1.796<sup>ab</sup>

2.173<sup>ab</sup>

1.702<sup>ab</sup>

744.1

533.6

a-d meanings with different superscripts within the rows are significantly different (P < 0.05).

N.control = negative control (basal diet), P.control = positive control (basal diet + 0.01% (w/w) doxin200), 0.1% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.05% thyme oil + 0.05% postbiotic, 0.2% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.1% thyme oil + 0.1% postbiotic, 0.3% Pos + Phy = basal diet + basal diet + 0.15% thyme oil + 0.15% postbiotic, and 0.4% Pos + Phy = basal diet + 0.2% thyme oil (v/w) + 0.2% postbiotic. SEM, standard error of the mean (pooled).

control, but there were no significant differences compared to the P-control.

#### 3.2. Broiler chickens' immune response

673 9<sup>c</sup>

716.3

531.6

1277.0<sup>ab</sup>

1629.2<sup>a</sup>

3437.73<sup>a</sup>

Feed conversion ratio (FCR) -(g feed/g weight)

0 963<sup>a</sup>

1.900<sup>a</sup>

2.277<sup>a</sup>

 $1.770^{a}$ 

1943.1<sup>d</sup>

818.0<sup>a</sup>

720.9

515.5

1181.9b

1519.6b

3216.9b

0.883<sup>ab</sup>

1.455<sup>c</sup>

2.112b

1.514<sup>d</sup>

2124.5<sup>b</sup>

Effects of the combination of postbiotics and phytobiotics on antibody titers against viruses of infectious bronchitis bursal disease (IBD), and Newcastle disease (NDv) in broiler chickens are presented in Table 3. The results showed that antibody titers against ND were significantly (P < 0.05) increased in broiler chickens provided with 0.4% Pos + Phy combinations, which recorded the

Table 3. Effects of different levels of Pos + Phy on antibody titer against (IBD) and (ND).

| Treatments       | Antibody<br>against ND | Antibody<br>against IBD |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| N-control        | 2038.0 <sup>c</sup>    | 705.3 <sup>bc</sup>     |
| P-control        | 1961.5 <sup>c</sup>    | 575.3°                  |
| 0.1% Pos + Phy   | 2140.8 <sup>bc</sup>   | 998.8 <sup>ab</sup>     |
| 0.2% pos + phy   | 2463.0 <sup>b</sup>    | 1254.3 <sup>a</sup>     |
| 0.3%Pos + Phy    | 2526.8 <sup>b</sup>    | 1276.3 <sup>a</sup>     |
| 0.4%Pos + Phy    | 2922.5 <sup>a</sup>    | 1270.3 <sup>a</sup>     |
| P-value          | 0.0004                 | 0.0003                  |
| SEM <sup>1</sup> | 17.069                 | 14.378                  |

a-d meanings with different superscripts within columns are significantly different (P < 0.05).

highest levels of titers compared to other birds fed 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3% Pos + Phy and the N-control and P-control. No significant (P > 0.05) differences occurred on the titers against ND among treatment groups provided with a diet level of 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3% Pos + Phy, respectively, compared to the negative and positive controls. In addition, results showed that antibody titers against IBD were significantly increased in all experimental treatments provided with different levels of postbiotic (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4% Pos + Phy) compared to the positive control provided with antibiotics. Also, no significant differences occurred in the titers of IBD among all experimental treatments that fed different levels of experimental feed (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4%) Pos + Phy) compared to N-control.

0.005

0.002

0.278

0.0001

0.563

0.0789

0.021

0.006

0.047

0.026

0.155

0.0002

7.5

6.6

5.5

16.5

108

22.3

0.015

0.043

0.021

0.021

20.4

14.7

628.2<sup>a</sup>

818.2<sup>a</sup>

762.9

519.9

1349.0<sup>a</sup>

1597.2<sup>a</sup>

3466.1<sup>a</sup>

0.828<sup>b</sup>

2.095<sup>b</sup>

1.569<sup>cd</sup>

1.649<sup>abc</sup>

2209.2<sup>a</sup>

#### 3.3. Broiler chickens' mortality percentage

"Figure 1," shows the effects of various levels of combination postbiotics and phytobiotics on the final mortality rate of broiler chickens. There were no significant (P > 0.05) variations in the mortality rate of broiler chickens fed an experimental diet across all treatment groups. However, there are statistical differences in the percentage of mortality of broiler chickens between experimental treatments, with birds in 0.4% Pos + Phy having the

1 - 10

11 - 24

25 - 35

1 - 35

1 - 10

11 - 24

25 - 35

1 - 35

1 - 10

11 - 24

25 - 35

1 - 35

Feed intake (FI) -(g/bird)



Figure 1. Effects of a combination of different levels of postbiotics and phytobiotics on broiler chicken's mortality. SEM, standard error of the mean (pooled).

lowest percentage of mortality (4.55%) compared to all experimental treatment groups.

#### 3.4. Broiler chickens' European Broiler Index (EBI)

Table 4 demonstrated the effects of various levels of combination postbiotics and phytobiotics on the economic production index, or broiler Europe index. The BEI increased significantly (P < 0.05) in the treatment group fed at levels of 0.3 and 0.4% Pos + Phy compared to the bird groups fed at levels of 0.1% and 0.2% Pos + Phy. No significant (P > 0.05) differences were shown among birds fed levels of 0.3 and 0.4% Pos + Phy experimental diets and birds fed antibiotics in the positive control. The BEI was also significantly increased when the levels of diet supplements in the experimental treatment groups

Table 4. Effects of different levels of postbiotics and phytobiotics combination on Broiler Europe index (BEI).

| Treatments       | Broiler Europe index |  |  |
|------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| N-control        | 284.06 <sup>c</sup>  |  |  |
| P-control        | 374.04 <sup>a</sup>  |  |  |
| 0.1% Pos + Phy   | $300.15^{\circ}$     |  |  |
| 0.2%  pos + phy  | 322.73 <sup>bc</sup> |  |  |
| 0.3% Pos + Phy   | 351.57 <sup>ab</sup> |  |  |
| 0.4%  Pos + Phy  | 385.38 <sup>a</sup>  |  |  |
| P-value          | 0.002                |  |  |
| SEM <sup>1</sup> | 1.261                |  |  |

a-d meanings with different superscripts within columns are significantly different (P < 0.05).

were increased from 0.1% to 0.4% Pos + Phy. The highest value of BEI was recorded in 0.4Pos + Phy (385.38), which was fed a combination of postbiotics and phytobiotics (thyme oil), which was also significantly similar to the positive control that was recorded (374.04), which was fed antibiotics.

# 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Growth performance

The findings showed that varied levels of postbiotic and phytobiotic in broiler chickens at various stages of age considerably enhanced growth performance, particularly the high levels of feed additives 0.3% Pos + Phy and 0.4% Pos + Phy. It will be known that postbiotics from L. plantarum have bacteriostatic and bactericidal effects, which may reduce or eliminate the growth of pathogenic bacteria in the gut. The present findings are similar to those of [16], who found that the average of LBW, WG, and FI increased significantly in bird groups when they received dietary supplements containing 0.1% phytobiotic and 0.15% probiotic combinations, and the feed conversion ratio decreased significantly compared to the control. The results were in agreement with [17], who observed that LBW, WG, FCR, and FI were significantly improved in all experimental feed additives (12% phytobiotics+0.5 probiotic) in the final stage of age. While results by [18], disagree with our study that LBW, FI, and FCR were not affected by dietary treatments containing 0.1 g/kg commercial probiotic and 5-7.5 g/kg ground thyme compared to the control, Also, the results were in agreement with [19,20], who noticed that birds fed 0.3% postbiotic of different strain diets had significantly higher average LBW, WG, and cumulative FI, and the FCR was significantly lower than the treatment group of birds that received the antibiotic and basal diet. In addition, the results agree with [8], who found that birds fed a combination of various strains of 0.3% postbiotics and 0.8%-1.0% prebiotics (inulin) had higher significant averages of final LBW and total WG than other treatments and a lower FCR compared to those birds fed a basal diet in the control, but were similar to those birds in other treatments fed antibiotics and another strain of postbiotics. However [21], results agree with our current study results that LBW and WG were significantly increased in quail birds when presented with dietary supplements at level 0.4% postbiotic, but disagree with the current study that no significant differences have occurred on FCR and FI when presented different levels of postbiotic (0.2, 0.4, and 0.6%) compared to control. Moreover [22], agrees with our results and shows that LBW, cumulative WG, total FI, and FCR were improved significantly in broiler chicken fed at levels 0.3 and 0.45% (postbiotic + prebiotic inulin) compared to other treatments fed at levels (0.15 and 0.6%) and with the negative and positive control. In addition [23], agrees with our finding that the LBW and WG were significantly increased, and the FCR was decreased in broiler chickens fed dietary supplements with 0.2% phytobitics compared to negative and positive controls [24]. Also, agree with our finding that feeding birds 100 mg/kg of thymol oil showed significantly improved final LBW, FCR, and feed consumption compared to the control.

The bioactive substances may be responsible for the increase in the bird's growth performance due to the composition of the phytobiotics, as it is known that thymol and carvacrol are the most important biologically active substances in thyme oil that can stimulate appetite and the secretion of digestive enzymes. It may also be due to the nutrients found in postbiotics, such as protein compounds, organic acids, peptides, vitamins, and short-chain fatty acids. The enhanced growth performance could potentially result from the synergistic interplay between postbiotics and phytobiotics.

The biological mechanisms of action result in the intended effects on feed consumption, nutritional bioavailability, promoting the production of digestive enzymes, and enhancing gastrointestinal motility; they also have anti-inflammatory, antispasmodic, and antioxidant actions [25] Although the presence of many typical nutrients in postbiotic compositions, such as vitamin B12, vitamin K, folic acid, and several amino acids, as well as lipopolysaccharides, enzymes, short-chain fatty acids, bacterial lysates, and cell-free supernatants, which may have a role in preventing respiratory tract infections and have antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative, and antioxidant activities, maybe the reason to improve the bird's health and then to improve the growth performance [26] In addition, the improved growth performance in birds fed a diet combination of postbiotics and inulin may be due to the bacteriocins, organic acids, and vitamins present in the postbiotics [22]. Phytobiotics can significantly affect the bird's growth performance, especially when exposed to stressful environmental conditions. They can do this by enhancing the health of the intestinal tract, increasing digestive enzymes, and increasing absorption [18]. Another reason for the enhancement of growth performance may be due to modifying the microbial population and nutrient absorption due to the alteration of the gut pH [17]. Moreover, environmental conditions, farm management, feeding plans, and pen hygiene could also impact broiler chickens' growth performance.

## 4.2. Broiler chicken's immune response

The ELISA antibody titer against Newcastle disease virus and infectious bursal disease was significantly improved after feeding different levels of the combination of postbiotics and phytobiotic in the present study in broiler chicken serum as compared with birds in the positive and negative control groups. The same results found by [27] showed that birds receiving 0.5-1 kg of postbiotic/ton feed or 4 ml of postbiotic/litter drinking water significantly enhanced performance, productivity, immune response, and antibody titers against IBDv and Newcastle disease virus when compared with challenged non-treated chickens. Similar results were observed by other researchers when 1.5 g/kg of probiotics and prebiotics (MOs) were added to broiler diets and showed higher antibody titers against IBD compared to control and level 1 g/kg feed [28]. Also, our findings agreed with [29], who observed higher titers of antibodies against Newcastle disease, Gumboro disease, and infectious bronchial viral disease in broiler chickens fed 0.3% each of postmitotic, probiotic, and prebiotic compared to negative and positive controls. The same outcomes of our study were also noted by [30],

The immune response indicated higher serum ELISA antibody titers against infectious bursal disease (IBD) and higher weights of immunological organs such as the thymus, spleen, and bursa of Fabricius in the bird groups fed thyme oil compared with the control group (P < 0.05). Contrary to current studies [31], found that supplementation with thyme or oregano essential oils for 35 days had no impact on the NDV antibody titer levels of broiler chickens. An immunoglobulin level in the blood is an important indicator for estimating the presence of antibodies. That was conducted to investigate if there is an immune reaction resulting from antigens in the blood or if the immune system produces the specialized proteins (antibodies) to identify and destroy foreign invaders (antigens), such as viruses and bacteria [32]. These findings, including higher antibody titers against ND and IBD, may be due to active compounds in thyme oil such as flavonoids, which enhance vitamin C activity, antioxidant properties, and immune function [30]. However, it might be the result of the stimulation of immune cells by cytokines, which are secreted by lactic acid bacteria and microbes [33]. In addition, it could be related to postbiotic structures such as peptidoglycan, which makes up 90% of the dry weight of Lactobacilli, and stimulates the immune response in birds, lipopolysaccharides, and the polymeric compounds teichoic and lipoteichoic acids, which are found in the cell walls of gram-positive bacteria [27]. These observations, which involve increased antibody titers against ND and IBD, could be attributed to the synergistic impact of active components present in both thyme oil and postbiotics. These combeneficial ponents enhance bacteria, antiinflammatory cytokines, antioxidant properties, and immune function.

# 4.3. Mortality percentage and broiler Europe index

Statistically, there was no significant impact on total mortality percentage between groups fed variant levels of Pst + Phy or antibiotic, but calculatedly, it decreased in birds fed 0.4% Pos + Phy. While the broiler Europe index was significantly affected by different levels of postbiotic and phytobiotic combinations, especially high levels of 0.3 and 0.4% Pos + Phy. The same results for our present finding were found by [34], who reported that the mortality percentage of broilers was not significantly different (P > 0.05) among all experimental treatments fed dietary with 0.2% postbiotic strains TL1 and RS5 compared to birds in the negative control (basal diet) and positive control fed 0.01% oxytetracycline. On the other hand, the finding agrees with our current study results that the birds fed 0.2% (v/w) postbiotic strain RI11 had the significantly highest value of the Europe broiler index compared to the control.

Furthermore [17], also agree, with our finding results, they observed that EBI and survival percentage of broiler chicken were increased in treated groups fed phytobiotic and probiotics with comparison to a positive control (0.01% antibiotic), when they feeding 0.12 g/kg phytobiotics or a combination of 0.12 g/kg phytobiotics and 0.5 g/kg probiotics as dietary supplements. In addition [19], agree with our findings, found no significant differences in the percentage of mortality between all treatment groups fed 0.3% postbiotics of various strains (RI11, RS5, and UL4) compared to the negative control and positive control (0.02% oxytetracycline). Also, agree with our findings and reported no significant difference in mortality rate in bird groups that were fed postbiotics compared to challenge groups without the postbiotic in the most severely challenged birds with Clostridium perfringens.

The reason for the improvement in both the mortality rate and the economic production index in our current study may be due to the result of the effects of optimum levels of the combination of the two feed additive compounds included in the experimental supplements, postbiotics, and phytobiotics, as the main active substances in them are thymol and carvacrol in the phytobiotics, as well as bacteriocins, fatty acid chains, and peptides in the postbiotics. In addition to being rich in nutrients such as vitamins and mineral elements, which are important for improving production and health in birds. Our current study demonstrates that a combination of different levels of a postbiotic plus phytobiotics (thyme oil extracts) may exert additive effects on the efficiency of economic production in broiler chickens. In this regard [13], also evaluated the performance of broiler chickens in terms of the European Broiler Index and the European Production Efficiency Factor, which include the daily average of WG, FCR, and survival ratio, that higher values of these indicators show that the flock is healthy and the birds' BWG had high uniformity rate. The addition of the feed additive component used in this study led to an improvement in the average live body weight and the feed conversion ratio, which led to an increase in the economic production index values to reach 385.38 in the groups of birds fed 0.4% Pos + Phy of the experimental supplements. These improvements may accrue after postbiotics were added to the diet of the chickens through improved immunity, gut health, tissue integrity, and gut microbiota regulation.

# 5. Conclusion

We reached the conclusion that levels of 0.4%Pos + Phy (base diet with 0.2% thyme oil + 0.2%postbiotic) should be utilized in broiler chicken feed to provide the highest growth performance, immunological responses, and economic production.

## **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

# Funding

This work is part of PhD Dissertation for the first author in Salahaddin University, college of agriculture engineering sciences.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank everyone who supported the success of this study, whether it was via the provision of technical assistance, administrative support, laboratory materials, or publication of the results.

## References

- [1] Tabashsum Z, Alvarado-Martinez Z, Wall MJ, Aditya A, Biswas D. Combined effect of metabolites produced by a modified Lactobacillus casei and berry phenolic extract on Campylobacter and microbiome in chicken cecum contents. J Food Sci Jun 2023;88(6):2583–94. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1750-3841.16580.
- [2] Abd El-Ghany WA. Paraprobiotics and postbiotics: contemporary and promising natural antibiotics alternatives and their applications in the poultry field. Open Vet J 2020;10: 323–30. https://doi.org/10.4314/ovj.v10i3.11.
  [3] Reuben RC, Sarkar SL, Roy PC, Anwar A, Hossain MA,
- [3] Reuben RC, Sarkar SL, Roy PC, Anwar A, Hossain MA, Jahid IK. Prebiotics, probiotics and postbiotics for sustainable poultry production. World's Poult Sci J 2021;77(4): 825–82. https://doi.org/10.1080/00439339.2021.1960234.
- [4] Ramiah SK, Zulkifli I, Rahim NAA, Ebrahimi M, Meng GY. Effects of two herbal extracts and virginiamycin supplementation on growth performance, intestinal microflora population and fatty acid composition in broiler chickens. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2014;27:375–82. https://doi.org/ 10.5713/ajas.2013.13030.
- [5] Kareem KY, Loh TC, Foo HL, Akit H, Samsudin AA. Effects of dietary postbiotic and inulin on growth performance, IGF1 and GHR mRNA expression, faecal microbiota and volatile fatty acids in broilers. BMC Vet Res 2016;12(1):8–17. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12917-016-0790-9.
- [6] Kareem KY, Loh TC, Foo HL, Asmara SA, Akit H, Abdulla NR, et al. Carcass, meat and bone quality of broiler chickens fed with postbiotic and prebiotic combinations. Int J Probiot Prebiot 2015;10(1):23–30. https://www.researchgate. net/publication/275893440.
- [7] Loh Teck C, Choe DW, Foo HL, Sazili AQ, Bejo MH. Effects of feeding different postbiotic metabolite combinations produced by Lactobacillus plantarum strains on egg quality and production performance, faecal parameters and plasma cholesterol in laying hens. BMC Vet Res 2014;10(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-6148-10-149.
- [8] Abd El-Hack Mohamed E, El-Saadony Mohamed T, Salem Heba M, El-Tahan Amira M, Soliman Mohamed

MYoussef Gehan BA, et alAlternatives to antibiotics for organic poultry production: types, modes of action and impacts on bird's health and production. Poult Sci 2022;101: 101696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.101696.

- [9] Grashorn MA. Use of phytobiotics in broiler nutrition an alternative to infeed antibiotics? J Anim Feed Sci. 2010;19(3): 338–47. https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/66297/2010.
- [10] Kryeziu AJ, Mestani N, Berisha S, Kamberi MA. The European performance indicators of broiler chickens as influenced by stocking density and sex. Agron Res 2018;16(2): 483491. https://doi.org/10.15159/AR.18.040.
- [11] Oluwayelu DO, Adebiyi AI, Olaniyan I, Ezewele P, Aina O. Occurrence of Newcastle disease and infectious Bursal disease virus antibodies in double-spurred Francolins in Nigeria. J Vet Med 2014:1–5. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/ 106898.
- [12] SAS Institute INC. SAS/ STAT User's Guide: VERSION 9.1. (Cary, NC, SAS Institute Inc). 2012. https://support.sas.com/ documentation/onlinedoc/91pdf/sasdoc\_91/ets\_ug\_7314.
- [13] Ferdous, Faisal Md, Shafiul Arefin Md, Moshiur Rahman Md, Mustafijur Rahman Ripon Md, Harunur Rashid Md, Rokeya Sultana Mst, et al. Beneficial effects of probiotic and phytobiotic as growth promoter alternative to antibiotic for safe broiler production. Adv Vet Anim Res 2019 September;6(3):409–15. https://doi.org/10.5455/javar.2019. f361.
- [14] Hussein EOS, Ahmed Shamseldein H, Abudabos Alaeldein M, Suliman Gamaleldin M, Abd El-Hack Mohamed E, Swelum Ayman A, et al. Ameliorative effects of antibiotic-, probiotic- and phytobiotic-supplemented diets on the performance, intestinal health, carcass traits, and meat quality of clostridium perfringens-infected broilers. Animal 2020;10: 669. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10040669.
- [15] Hosseini SA, Meimandipour A, Alami F, Mahdavi A, Mohiti-Asli M, Lotfollahian H, et al. Effects of ground thyme and probiotic supplements in diets on broiler performance, blood biochemistry and immunological response to sheep red blood cells. Ital J Anim Sci 2013;12(1):116–20. https://doi.org/ 10.4081/ijas.e19.
- [16] Humam AM, Loh TC, Foo HL, Samsudin AA, Mustaha NM, Zulkifli I. Effects of feeding different postbiotics produced by Lactobacillus plantarum on growth performance, carcass yield, intestinal morphology, gut microbiota composition, immune status and growth gene expression in broilers under heat stress. Animals 2019;9:644–64. https://doi.org/10.1590/ 1806-9061-2017-0698.
- [17] Humam Ali Merzza, Loh TC, Foo HL, Izuddin WI, Zulkifli I, Samsudin AA, et al. Supplementation of postbiotic R111 improves antioxidant enzyme activity, upregulated gut barrier genes, and reduced cytokine, acute phase protein, and heat shock protein 70 gene expression levels in heat-stressed broilers. Poult Sci 2021;100(3). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.12. 011.
- [18] Kareem KY. Effect of different levels of postbiotic on growth performance, intestinal microbiota count and volatile fatty acids on quail. Plant Archives 2020;20:2885–7. http://www. plantarchives.org/SPL%20ISSUE%2020-2/477\_2885-2887\_.
- [19] Kareem KY, Loh TC, Foo HL. Effect of new feed additive on growth performance and immunoglobulin of broilers. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 2021;761(1). https://doi.org/10. 1088/1755-1315/761/1/012110.
- [20] Moharreri Mahsa, Vakili R, Oskoueian E, Rajabzadeh G. Phytobiotic role of essential oil-loaded microcapsules in improving the health parameters in Clostridium perfringens-infected broiler chickens. Ital J Anim Sci 2021;20(1): 2075–85. https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2021.1993093.
- [21] Placha I, Ocelova V, Chizzola R, Battelli G, Gai F, Bacova K, et al. Effect of thymol on the broiler chicken antioxidative defence system after sustained dietary thyme oil application. Br Poult Sci 2019;60(5):589–96. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00071668.2019.1631445.
- [22] Gholami-Ahangaran M, Ahmadi-Dastgerdi A, Azizi S, Basiratpour A, Zokaei M, Derakhshan M. Thymol and

carvacrol supplementation in poultry health and performance. Vet Med Sci 2022;8(1):267–88. https://doi.org/10. 1002/vms3.663.

- [23] Thorakkattu P, Khanashyam AC, Shah K, Babu KS, Mundanat AS, Deliephan A, et al. Postbiotics: current trends in food and pharmaceutical industry. Foods 2022;11(19):1–29. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11193094.
- [24] Abd El-Ghany WA, Fouad H, Quesnell R, Sakai L. The effect of a postbiotic produced by stabilized non-viable Lactobacilli on the health, growth performance, immunity, and gut status of colisepticaemic broiler chickens. Trop Anim Health Prod 2022;54(5):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-022-03300-w.
- [25] Regar MN, Tulung B, Londok JJMR, Moningkey SAE, Tulung YRL. Blood lipid profile of broiler chicken as affected by a combination of Feed restriction and different crude fiber sources. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 2019;387:012053. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/387/1/012053.
- [26] Mohammed MY, Kareem KY. A comparison study of probiotic, postbiotic and prebiotic on performance and meat quality of broilers. Tikrit J Agricult Sci 2022;22(4):24–32. https://doi.org/10.25130/tjas.22.4.4.
- [27] AL-Emier Almremdhy HA, Al-Khafaji MA. Drinking thyme extract on growth performance and immune response of broiler chickens. Indian Vet J 2020;97(4):32–5. https://www. researchgate.net/publication/345683079.
- [28] Zaazaa A, Mudalal S, Alzuheir I, Samara M, Jalboush N, Fayyad A, et al. The impact of thyme and oregano essential oils dietary supplementation on broiler health, growth performance, and prevalence of growth-related breast muscle abnormalities. Animals 2022;12(21). https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani12213065.

- [29] Oberländer B, Failing K, Jüngst CM, Neuhaus N, Lierz M, Palau-Ribes FM. Evaluation of Newcastle disease antibody titers in backyard poultry in Germany with a vaccination interval of twelve weeks. PLoS One 2020 August 1–14;15:8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238068. journal.pone. 0238068.
- [30] Rehman A, Arif M, Sajjad N, Al-Ghadi MQ, Alagawany M, Abd El-Hack ME, et al. Dietary effect of probiotics and prebiotics on broiler performance, carcass, and immunity. Poult Sci 2020;99(12):6946–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj. 2020.09.043.
- [31] Danladi Y, Loh TC, Foo HL, Akit H, Md Tamrin NA, Naeem Azizi M. Effects of postbiotics and paraprobiotics as replacements for antibiotics on growth performance, carcass characteristics, small intestine histomorphology, immune status and hepatic growth gene expression in broiler chickens. Animals 2022;12(7):917. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani12070917.
- [32] Johnson CN, Kogut MH, Genovese K, He H, Kazemi S, Arsenault RJ. Administration of a postbiotic causes immunomodulatory responses in broiler gut and reduces disease pathogenesis following challenge. Microorganisms 2019;7(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7080268.
- [33] Chaney E, Miller EA, Firman J, Binnebose A, Kuttappan V, Johnson TJ. Effects of a postbiotic, with and without a saponinbased product, on turkey performance. Poult Sci 2023;102(5): 102607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2023.102607.
- [34] Al-Fayyad Hamdi Abdul Aziz, Naji SAH. Higher Education Press. Poultry Products Technology. Second Editionx. Baghdad University; 2012. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/306485890.